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Abstract

Centralism is a phenomenon which has been repeatedly denounced in contemporary Peruvian history as a vice that needs to be eliminated; consequently, its opposite—decentralization—has been a banner that has been frequently raised, especially in times of serious political crises. After presenting some quantitative data that illustrate the high degree of centralism in Peru, this article examines the historical basis for the persistence of the concentration of political and economic power in the capital of the country and raises questions about some of the current interpretations of this phenomenon. It then goes on to discuss some important historical milestones of centralization and decentralization during 19th and 20th century Peruvian history. Particular emphasis is given to the period between 1872 and 1919.

It is argued that extreme centralism was a logical response to the great weakness of the basic social and economic foundations necessary for the construction of a nation-state at the time that Peru became independent. In these circumstances, an elite emerged which achieved a certain level of consolidation in the apparatus of the state and in terms of national unity but at the cost of strong centralism. In addition, the fact that the economy was based on primary exports by foreign-owned companies as well as the lack of solid regional bourgeoisie during most of the modern history of Peru has made decentralization a desperately faraway goal.
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